



ISSN: 2476-8642 (Print)

ISSN: 2536-6149 (Online)

[www.annalsofhealthresearch.com](http://www.annalsofhealthresearch.com)

African Index Medicus, Crossref, Index Copernicus  
& Google Scholar

C.O.P.E & Directory of Open Access Journals

# Annals of Health Research

## IN THIS ISSUE



- **Candiduria**
- **Hypoglycaemia**
- **At-Risk Behaviour for Heart Diseases**
- **Healthcare-seeking Behaviours**
- **Post-Kidney Transplant Urine Bacteriology**
- **Osteonecrosis of Femoral Head**
- **Papanicolaou smear**
- **Mauriac syndrome**
- **Diabetic Ketoacidosis**

**PUBLISHED BY THE MEDICAL  
AND DENTAL CONSULTANTS ASSOCIATION  
OF NIGERIA, OOUTH, SAGAMU, NIGERIA.**

[www.mdcan.outh.org.ng](http://www.mdcan.outh.org.ng)

## ORIGINAL RESEARCH

## Hypoglycaemia among adults with Type 2 Diabetes mellitus in a Family Medicine Clinic

Sodipo OO\*<sup>1</sup>, Ademolu AB<sup>2</sup>, Odunaye-Badmus S<sup>1</sup>, Oluwatuyi EO<sup>1</sup>, Odiana R<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Family Medicine, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos

<sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos

\*Correspondence: Dr OO Sodipo, Department of Family Medicine, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos. E-mail: olujimiso@gmail.com; ORCID - <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5667-3656>.

### Abstract

**Background:** The prevalence of Diabetes mellitus (DM) is increasing worldwide. The complications of DM arising from hyperglycaemia are well documented and. However, there is a lack of data, poor awareness and information on hypoglycaemia in DM.

**Objective:** To describe the prevalence and factors associated with hypoglycaemia among patients with Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (DM).

**Methods:** This was a retrospective study of the clinical records of patients with Type 2 DM at the Family Medicine Clinic of a Nigerian teaching hospital from January 2019 to January 2020. The sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, including hypoglycaemia, Glycosylated Haemoglobin (HbA1c), Fasting and Random blood glucose, were retrieved.

**Results:** A total number of 570 patients were assessed, with a prevalence of 43 (7.5%) of hypoglycaemia. The mean age of the patients in the study was 58.2±10.9 years (range: 36-83 years). Metformin (557; 97.7%), Sulphonylureas (377; 66.1%), Dipeptidylpeptidase -4 inhibitors (137; 24.0%) and insulin (72; 12.6%) were the most prescribed anti-diabetic medications. hypoglycaemia A majority (29; 67.4%) of the hypoglycaemia episodes occurred in the morning, while most (24; 55.8%) of the episodes of hypoglycaemia were mild. Mean age (t= 2.35; p = 0.019), presence of hypertension (X<sup>2</sup> = 6.92, p = 0.008) and dyslipidaemia (X<sup>2</sup> = 7.86, p = 0.005) were associated with hypoglycaemia.

**Conclusions:** The prevalence of hypoglycaemia in the Outpatient clinic was low, while the presence of comorbidities (hypertension and dyslipidaemia) and age were associated with hypoglycaemia. There is a need for patient education and Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose (SMBG) to prevent complications of hypoglycaemia.

**Keywords:** Dipeptidylpeptidase-4 Inhibitors, Dyslipidaemia, Hypertension, Hypoglycaemia, Insulin, Sulphonylurea.

### Introduction

The prevalence of Diabetes mellitus is increasing worldwide and in Africa, with the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) projecting that there will be an increase in persons with DM from 463

million in 2019 to 700 million by 2045. [1] The strict control of DM is increasingly emphasised following the benefits demonstrated in various studies, including the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) and The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) studies on

preventing complications. [2,3] Due to the shortage of endocrinologists in Nigeria and Africa, primary care physicians care for many Type 2 DM (T2DM) patients. Hypoglycaemia in T2DM patients in Outpatient settings has been documented in literature within and outside Nigeria. [4-7]

Hypoglycaemia is a medical emergency and a prevalent complication of intensive diabetes therapy. [8, 9] Randomized controlled trials, namely, the ADVANCE, [10] VADT, [11] and ACCORD, [12] studies revealed a three-fold increased risk of hypoglycaemic episodes while trying to maintain glycaemic goals. Although preventable, hypoglycaemic episodes, especially if severe or recurrent, may result in increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, significant psychosocial dysfunction, and reduced quality of life. [13,14] A recent study in the United States found that nearly 76% of clinicians would treat their patients with diabetes more aggressively if not for concerns about inducing low blood sugar. [15] Unfortunately the actual burden of DM in most centres is underestimated due to poor use of Self- monitoring of Blood Glucose, hence poor diagnosis, lack of knowledge on its implications and ultimately, poor treatment.

Whipple's triad most convincingly documents hypoglycaemia: symptoms consistent with hypoglycaemia, a low plasma glucose concentration at the time of the symptoms, and reversal of those symptoms when the plasma glucose concentration is corrected. [13] The American Diabetes Association (ADA) defined hypoglycaemia as blood glucose of less than or equal to 70mg/dl. [13] The American Diabetes Association (ADA)/ European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 2018 Classification of hypoglycaemia classifies hypoglycaemia as follows: [16]

a) Level 1: Glucose = 55 mg/dl (3.0mmol/l)- 70mg/dl (3.6mmol/l)

b) Level 2: Glucose < 55 mg/dL (3.0 mmol/L)

c) Level 3: A severe event characterised by altered mental and physical status requiring assistance.

The ADA Work Group on T2DM also coined a term, "Probable Symptomatic Hypoglycaemia", where the exact value of the glucose levels need not be measured. [13] The reported prevalence of hypoglycaemia in a study in south-western Nigeria was 50%, [17] similar to the finding in a survey in South-eastern Nigeria, which reported a prevalence of 48%. [7] They were both higher than the finding in a German prospective trial, which reported a hypoglycaemia prevalence of 14%. [18] A study carried out in South-eastern Nigeria among patients with DM reported that knowledge of the causes, symptoms, and self-management practices for hypoglycaemia was inadequate. [4] It is essential to examine the problem of hypoglycaemia to identify associated factors, including the timing. This study aimed to describe the prevalence and some of the factors associated with hypoglycaemia among patients with T2DM in a primary care clinic setting, with a view to further research on this commonly missed medical challenge.

## Methods

### Study Area

This study was carried out at the Chronic Medical Disorder (CMD) Clinic of the Family Medicine Department, Lagos State University Teaching Hospital, Ikeja, Lagos. The hospital is situated in the Southwestern part of Nigeria in Lagos State, and the centre serves as a training, research and referral centre in the state. The CMD Clinic enrolls and manages patients with uncomplicated T2DM. The annual CMD Clinic attendance is about 4,000, with a monthly average of 100 patients and weekly attendance of 20-30 patients.

**Study Design:** This was a retrospective study of the clinical records of patients with T2DM treated

from January 2019 to January 2020, assessing for documented clinical or laboratory hypoglycaemia.

**Study Population:** It comprised 555 patients with T2DM who were on oral hypoglycaemic medications and received medical care at the CMD Clinic of the Lagos State University Teaching Hospital (LASUTH), Ikeja, Lagos State.

**Inclusion Criteria:** Adult patients (18 years and above) with T2DM who were on oral hypoglycaemic medications and whose hospital records showed documented clinical features of hypoglycaemia and fasting and random blood glucose values.

**Exclusion Criteria:** Patients without the required clinical information and those diagnosed with Type 1 DM, Gestational DM or secondary DM.

**Data Collection and Procedure:** At presentation at the clinic, the blood pressure, fasting blood glucose or random blood glucose readings are routinely measured. The blood glucose readings from Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose (SMBG) of patients who practice it are also reviewed and recorded. Clinical records of blood glucose levels and symptoms among diabetic patients are also studied in the clinic. Blood glucose is obtained at each visit while glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) is assayed every 3-6 months.

In the present study, the sociodemographic characteristics of patients were also retrieved from the records. The clinical characteristics, including the details of oral hypoglycaemic medications used, clinical features of hypoglycaemia, co-morbid conditions, were recorded. Similarly, the Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose (SMBG) records were examined for patients who used that method of care.

### Definition of Outcome Variables

Hypoglycaemia was defined as Fasting or Random blood glucose below 70mg/dl. <sup>[13]</sup> The severity was further classified as mild (55-70mg/dl) and severe (< 55mg/dl). <sup>[13]</sup>

Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure  $\geq 140$ mmHg and or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq 90$ mmHg or use of anti-hypertensive medications.

Dyslipidaemia was defined as Total Cholesterol  $\geq 200$ mg/dl, HDL < 40mg/dl, Triglyceride  $\geq 150$ mg/dl and LDL  $\geq 150$ mg/dl or use of anti-lipid drugs.

Obesity was defined as Body mass index (BMI)  $\geq 30$ kg/mg<sup>2</sup>.

Glycaemic control was described as "good" with glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c)  $\leq 7\%$  or "poor" with HbA1c  $> 7\%$ .

**Ethical Approval:** Approval was granted by the Health and Research Ethics Committee of LASUTH with approval number LREC/06/10/1491. The personal details of the patients were used in a non-identifiable and confidential manner.

**Data analysis:** Data were analysed using Epi Info 7, Centre for Disease Control (CDC). Percentages, means and standard deviations of numerical variables were determined. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-Square test with Fisher's Exact test applied where cells had numbers less than 5. Continuous variables were compared using the Student's t-test. Statistical significance was defined by a p-value  $< 0.05$ .

## Results

A total of 570 patients with T2DM were studied, with about half (269; 47.2%) being older ( $\geq 60$  years). The mean age of the patients was  $58.2 \pm 10.9$  years. Close to two-thirds of the patients (366; 64.2%) were females. Close to two-thirds (363; 63.7%) were on two or more oral hypoglycaemics. Overall, 257 (45.1%) had poor glycaemic control. Most of the patients had comorbidities; 350 (61.4%) were hypertensive, 281 (49.3%) had dyslipidaemia, and 110 (19.3%) were obese, as shown in Table I. About one-tenth

(43; 7.5%) of the patients had hypoglycaemia with severe hypoglycaemia in about one fifth (9/43; 20.9%), as shown in Table I.

Metformin (557; 97.7%), sulphonylureas (377; 66.1%), dipeptidylpeptidase -4 inhibitors (137; 24.0%) and insulin (72; 12.6%) were the most prescribed oral hypoglycaemic medications as shown in Table II. Most of the cases of hypoglycaemia (28; 65.1%) occurred in the morning, while a third (13; 30.2%) occurred in the afternoon. A case each (2.3%) was recorded at night and midnight.

The mean age of the patients with hypoglycaemia was significantly higher,  $61.9 \pm 11.7$  years vs  $57.9 \pm 10.8$  years ( $t = 2.35$ ,  $p = 0.019$ ). The average number of oral hypoglycaemics received by patients with and without hypoglycaemia was similar ( $1.9 \pm 0.3$  vs  $1.9 \pm 0.5$ ;  $t = 0.05$ ,  $p = 0.96$ ). Although patients with hypoglycaemia had a longer duration of illness, the difference lacked statistical significance ( $7.0 \pm 6.2$  years vs  $6.1 \pm 6.1$  years;  $t = 0.93$ ,  $p = 0.35$ ). In addition, the mean HbA1c was higher among those without hypoglycaemia but without statistical significance ( $8.5 \pm 2.6\%$  vs  $7.7 \pm 2.3\%$ ;  $t = 1.72$ ,  $p = 0.06$ ). The presence of hypertension and dyslipidaemia were significantly associated with the occurrence of hypoglycaemia ( $p = 0.008$  and  $0.005$ , respectively), as shown in Table III.

## Discussion

This retrospective audit of patients with T2DM attending a primary care setting may assist with drawing attention to the burden of hypoglycaemia at the primary care level. The audit found the prevalence of hypoglycaemia as 7.5%. This is far lower than other studies in Nigeria reported, with figures ranging from 50% in southwest Nigeria [17] to 48% in southeast Nigeria [7] and 41.2% in Benin, southern Nigeria.

[8] The prevalence in the present study is closer to the finding in a German prospective trial, which reported a prevalence of 14%. [18] The lower rate reported from the present study may be due to the results of SMBG, which were not recorded during clinic visits.

The preponderance of females in the present study is in keeping with the findings in other studies in Nigeria [17,18] and India [19], suggesting that females use health care facilities more than males. However, there was no significant association between gender and hypoglycaemia in this study. There was also a preponderance of elderly patients in the present study as the mean age of the participants was 60.7 years, similar to the findings in other studies. [8,17-19] Advanced age may likely be significantly associated with hypoglycaemia in this study. This group of patients can have severe consequences from falls arising from hypoglycaemia, including fractures, amongst other complications. It was also noted that about half of the participants received anti-neuropathy drugs. Autonomic dysfunction affects awareness and response to hypoglycaemia. Therefore, this condition predisposes to severe forms of hypoglycaemia. [4,12]

The risk factors for hypoglycaemia in T2DM, in addition to medications, include physical exercises, advanced age, presence of comorbidities, lack of awareness of features of hypoglycaemia, excessive dieting or weight loss, alcohol, long duration of the illness and long duration of insulin use. [8,13,20 -22] The association of hypoglycaemia with age and comorbidities such as hypertension and dyslipidaemia as found in the present study agrees with the findings in a southwest Nigeria study which reported that older age, longer duration of diabetes illness, possession of a glucometer and use of insulin were associated with higher odds of hypoglycaemia. [17]

**Table 1: Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the participants**

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Frequency (N)</i>	<i>Percentage (%)</i>
<b>Age (Year) (N = 570)</b>		
21-29	03	0.6
30-39	28	4.9
40-49	77	13.5
50-59	193	33.8
60-69	184	32.3
>70	85	14.9
<b>Gender (N = 570)</b>		
Male	204	35.8
Female	366	64.2
<b>Ethnic Group (N = 570)</b>		
Yoruba	304	53.3
Ibo	149	26.1
Others	108	18.9
Hausa	09	1.6
<b>Current Smoking (N = 570)</b>		
No	548	96.1
Yes	22	3.9
<b>Use of Alcohol (N = 570)</b>		
No	480	84.2
Yes	90	15.8
<b>History of Hypertension (N = 570)</b>		
No	218	38.2
Yes	352	61.7
<b>Dyslipidaemia (N = 570)</b>		
No	289	50.7
Yes	281	49.3
<b>Obesity (N =278)</b>		
No	168	60.4
Yes	110	39.6

This study noted that only about a quarter of the patients had clinical symptoms, hence the importance of glucometers in monitoring high-risk patients. A study in China reported that episodes of hypoglycaemia occurred at various times, including before meals in the morning, mid-day, and night. [23]. However, a study design

that checked glucose levels multiple times in the day was used in that Chinese study.[23] Other studies have reported different high-risk periods such as the night, before lunch and before going to bed, [24] night and after each meal, [25] or before lunch, [26] as the highest risk times for the occurrence of hypoglycaemia.

**Table II: Details of diabetic illness, medications and occurrence of hypoglycaemia**

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Frequency (N)</i>	<i>Percentage (%)</i>
<b>Duration of Diabetic Illness (Years)</b> (N = 570)		
0-5	355	62.3
6-10	104	18.3
11-15	55	9.6
>15	56	9.8
Mean- 8.47± 2.67		
<b>Number of anti-diabetic Drugs</b> (N = 570)		
1	114	20.0
2	363	63.7
3	62	10.9
More than 3	31	5.4
Mean- 1.96± 0.57		
<b>Types of Drugs* (N = 570)</b>		
Metformin	557	97.7
Sulphonylurea	377	66.1
DPP-4	137	24.0
Insulin	72	12.6
SGLT-2	9	1.6
α glucosidase inhibitors	5	0.9
<b>Glycaemic Control (HbA1c)</b> (N-377)		
≤7%	122	32.4
>7%	255	67.6
Mean HbA1c 8.47 ± 2.67		
<b>Hypoglycaemia (N = 570)</b>		
No	527	92.5
Yes	43	7.5
<b>Severity of Hypoglycaemia</b> (N = 43)		
Severe	09	20.9
Not severe	34	79.1

\*- Multiple responses

Another Nigerian study reported that 28.3% of hypoglycaemic episodes occurred in the afternoon, before lunch but least occurred at night. [17] These differences may be due to the different methods used to report the occurrence of hypoglycaemia. It could also be affected by the practice of self-monitoring blood glucose. The present study found that the highest risk of hypoglycaemia was in the morning and afternoon. This could be because most of the data

were obtained from clinical records of patients who checked their blood glucose levels in the clinics. Studies have indicated that hypoglycaemia or severe hypoglycaemia can occur in a percentage of patients taking insulin therapy, [27] or metformin and sulphonylurea combination. [27-30] Shiraam *et al.*, [31] also reported that the combination therapy of sulphonylurea with metformin was the most frequently used therapy for patients with T2DM who suffered hypoglycaemic coma.

Table III: Relationship between sociodemographic and clinical factors and hypoglycaemia

Variable	Hypoglycaemia Absent	Hypoglycaemia Present	Statistics	p-value
<b>Mean Age (years)*</b>	57.9±10.85	61.98± 11.7	2.35	0.019
<b>Gender</b>				
Male (n = 204)	187 (91.7)	17 (8.3)	0.27	0.60
Female (n = 365)	339 (92.9)	26 (7.1)		
<b>Presence of Hypertension</b>				
No (n = 214)				
Yes (n = 349)	206 (96.3)	8 (3.7)	6.92	0.008
	315 (90.3)	34 (9.7)		
<b>Presence of Dyslipidaemia</b>				
No (n = 289)	276 (95.5)	13 (4.5)	7.86	0.005
Yes (n = 280)	250 (89.3)	30 (10.7)		
<b>Use of Alcohol**</b>				
No (n = 488)	449 (92.0)	39 (8.0)	1.74	0.18
Yes (n = 79)	76 (96.2)	3 (3.8)		
<b>Obesity</b>				
No (n = 168)	154 (91.6)	14 (8.4)	0.23	0.31
Yes (n = 110)	99 (90.0)	11 (10.0)		
<b>Mean number of Drugs *</b>	1.9±0.56	1.9±0.37	0.05	0.96
<b>Mean duration of Diabetic Illness (Years)*</b>	6.15±6.16	7.07± 6.22	0.93	0.35
<b>Mean HbA1c (%)*</b>	8.54± 2.69	7.72±2.35	1.72	0.06
<b>Sulphonylurea use</b>				
No (n = 195)	182 (93.3)	13 (6.7)		
Yes (n = 364)	334 (91.8)	30 (8.2)	0.34	0.56
<b>DPP-4 Inhibitors use</b>				
No (n = 432)	398 (92.1)	34 (7.9)		
Yes (n =137)	128 (93.4)	9 (6.6)	0.25	0.62
<b>Metformin use**</b>				
No (n = 13)	12 (92.3)	1 (7.7)		
Yes (n = 556)	514 (92.4)	42(7.6)	0.26	0.65
<b>Insulin use</b>				
No (n = 496)	460 (92.7)	36 (7.3)		
Yes (n = 99)	66 (66.7)	33 (33.3)	0.10	0.744

\* Student's t-test, \*\* Fishers Exact; Some data are missing.

The findings in the present study showed that metformin, sulphonylurea and insulin were the most commonly used oral hypoglycaemics. The use of newer oral hypoglycaemic drugs such as the DPP4- Inhibitors, Sodium-Glucose

Transporter 2 Inhibitors have been reported to have lower incidences of hypoglycaemia as compared to sulfonylureas. [32,33] However, the present study found no significant association

between the types of oral hypoglycaemic drugs and hypoglycaemia.

The prescription of insulin at primary care is increasing, and about 10% of the patients in the present study were receiving insulin. This could lead to an increased risk of hypoglycaemia at this level of care, especially if SMBG is not correctly implemented. Long action or premixed insulin has a reduced risk of hypoglycaemia compared to multiple doses of rapid-acting insulin. [34] It remains a good option of care at the primary care level. It is essential to educate diabetic patients on the prevention, symptoms, diagnosis and treatment of hypoglycaemia, including how their diet affects their glucose levels. [35]

**Limitations:** The retrospective nature of this study allowed cases of incomplete documentation and missing data. Specific risk factors for hypoglycaemia in T2DM, such as exercise, autonomic dysfunction, dietary inadequacy, weight loss, alcohol use, and type of insulin used, could not be assessed in the present study.

## Conclusions

The observed low prevalence of hypoglycaemia may be due to the study's retrospective design. However, there is a need for patient education and the use of SMBG to prevent complications, whilst physicians must ensure thorough review of SMBG records and proper documentation during clinical visits.

**Acknowledgement:** The consultants, residents and staff of the Family Medicine Department, LASUTH, Ikeja are appreciated. Rasheedat Tonade is also appreciated for helping with data collection and cleaning.

**Authors' Contributions:** SOO and AAB conceived the study, reviewed the literature, and drafted the manuscript. O-BS, OEO and OR did data collection,

SOO and OEO analysed the data while SOO, O-BS, and OR interpreted the data. SOO revised the manuscript for sound intellectual content. All the authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Conflicts of Interest:** None.

**Funding:** Self-funded.

**Publication History:** Submitted 12 May 2021; Accepted 12 November 2021.

## References

1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas, 9th Edition. Brussels, Belgium: 2019. Available at: <http://www.diabetesatlas.org/>. Accessed on 20 December 2020.
2. Parati G, Bilo G, Ochoa JE. Benefits of tight blood pressure control in diabetic patients with hypertension. *Diabetes Care*. 2011; 34: S297-S303. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc11-s243>
3. Fullerton B, Jeitler K, Seitz M, Horvath K, Berghold A, Siebenhofer A. Intensive glucose control versus conventional glucose control for type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 2. Art. No.: CD009122. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD009122.pub2>
4. Iloh GU, Amadi AN. Epidemiology of hypoglycaemia among ambulatory Type 2 diabetic patients in a primary care clinic of a tertiary hospital in Southeastern Nigeria. *J Health Res Rev* 2018; 5: 57-65. <https://doi.org/10.4103/jhrr.jhrr.37.17>
5. Birabwa C., Bwambale MF, Waiswa P. Quality and barriers of outpatient diabetes care in rural health facilities in Uganda – a mixed-methods study. *BMC Health Serv Res* .2019; 19: 706. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4535-x>
6. Ejegi A, Ross AJ, Naidoo K. Knowledge of symptoms and self-management of hypoglycaemia amongst patients attending a

- diabetic clinic at a regional hospital in KwaZulu-Natal. *Afr J Pry Health Care Fam Med* 2016; 8: e1-e6. <https://doi.org/10.4102/phcfm.v8i1.906>
7. Idowu AO, Adesegun OA, Osonuga A, Osibowale B, Ajiro T, Ngubor TD, *et al.* Patterns and Impact of Consultations to an Endocrinology Unit in a Tertiary Hospital in Southwestern Nigeria. *Niger Med J* 2018; 59: 28-32. [https://doi.org/10.4103/nmj.NMJ\\_167\\_18](https://doi.org/10.4103/nmj.NMJ_167_18)
  8. Abisoye OA, Oseribhor U. Prevalence and Presentation of Diabetes-Related Hypoglycaemia amongst Persons with Diabetes in a Tertiary Health Institution in Nigeria. *Int J Diabetes Endocrinol. Special Issue: Hypoglycemia in Diabetes* 2020; 5: 34-40. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijde.20200503.11>
  9. Besen BD, Arda Sürücü H, Kosar C. Self-reported frequency, severity of, and awareness of hypoglycemia in type diabetes patients in Turkey. *Peer J* 2016; 4: e2700. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2700>
  10. Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2008; 358: 2560-2572.
  11. Duckworth W, Abraira C, Moritz T. VADT Investigators. Glucose control and vascular complications in veterans with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2009; 360: 129-139.
  12. Gerstein HC, Miller ME. Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2008; 358: 2545-2559.
  13. American Diabetes Association Workgroup on Hypoglycemia. Defining and Reporting Hypoglycemia in Diabetes: A report from the American Diabetes Association Workgroup of Hypoglycemia. *Diabetes Care*. 2005; 5: 1245-1249.
  14. Ratzki-Leewing A, Harris SB, Mequanint S. Real-world crude incidence of hypoglycemia in adults with diabetes: Results of the In Hypo-DM Study, Canada. *BMJ Open Diab Res Care* 2018; 6: e000503. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdr-2017-000503>
  15. Leiter L, Yale J, Chiasson J. Assessment of the impact of fear of hypoglycemic episodes on glycemic and hypoglycemia management. *Diabetes* 2005; 29: 186-192.
  16. International Hypoglycaemia Study Group: Glucose concentrations of less than 3.0 mmol/L (54 mg/dL) should be reported in clinical trials: a joint position statement of the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2017; 40: 155-157. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2215>
  17. Olamoyegun MA, Ayodele AO, Enikuomehin AC, Akinlade AT. Incidence and Determinants of Reported Hypoglycaemia among Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in a Tertiary Health Institution in Nigeria. *J Diabetes Mellitus* 2020; 10: 51-63.
  18. Schope D, Bramlage P, Binz C, Krekler M, Deeg E, Gitt AK. Incidence and predictors of hypoglycaemia in type 2 diabetes. An analysis of the prospective DiaRegis registry. *BMC Endocr Disord* 2012; 12: 23-30. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6823-12-23>
  19. Samya V, Shriram V, Jasmine A, *et al.* Prevalence of Hypoglycemia among patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in a Rural Health Center in South India. *J Prim Care Community Health* 2019; 10: 2150132719880638. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2150132719880638>
  20. Quilliam BJ, Simeone JC, Ozbay AB, Kogut SJ. The incidence and costs of hypoglycemia

- in type 2 diabetes. *Am J Manag Care.* 2011;17: 673-680.
21. Parsaik AK, Carter RE, Pattan V, Myers LA, Kumar H, Smith SA, *et al.* Population-based study of severe hypoglycemia requiring emergency medical service assistance reveals unique findings. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2012; 6: 65-73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/193229681200600109>
  22. Hsu PF, Sung SH, Cheng HM, Yeh JS, Liu WL, Chan WL, *et al.* Association of clinical symptomatic hypoglycemia with cardiovascular events and total mortality in type 2 diabetes: a nationwide population-based study. *Diabetes Care* 2013; 36: 894-900. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-0916>
  23. Yang CM, Ma YL, Kang J, Jia Z, Wang YY. Time and department distribution of hypoglycemia occurrences in hospitalised diabetic patients. *Int J Nurs Serv* 2015; 9: 263-267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2015.08.001>
  24. Shen LL, Zhang N. The situation analysis and nursing countermeasures of hypoglycaemia in hospitalised patients with diabetes. *J Nurs* 2012; 19(3A): 50e1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnss.2012.08.001>
  25. Ouyang SY, Wang QL, Wu XY. Hypoglycemia incidence survey hospitalised patients with diabetes. *West China Med* 2012; 27: 727e8.
  26. Li DX, Song BY, Zhang LI, Ren L, Niu HY, Zhang H. 62 cases of type 2 diabetes patients in the intensive treatment characteristics analysis of hypoglycemia occurs. *Chin J Nurs* 2007; 42: 725e6.
  27. Miller ME, Bonds DE, Gerslein HC, Seaquist ER, Bergenstal RM, Calles-Escandon J, *et al.* The effects of baseline characteristics, glycaemia treatment approach, and glycated haemoglobin concentration on the risk of severe hypoglycaemia: post hoc epidemiological analysis of the ACCORD study. *BMJ* 2010; 340: 5444. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b5444>
  28. Wong MG, Petkovic V, Chalmers J, Woodward M, Li Q, Cooper ME, *et al.* Long-term benefits of intensive glucose control for preventing end-stage kidney disease: ADVANCE-ON. *Diabetes Care* 2016; 39: 694-700. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc15-2322>
  29. Agarwal AA, Jadhav PR, Deshmukh YA. Prescribing pattern and efficacy of anti-diabetic drugs in maintaining optimal glycemic levels in diabetic patients. *J Basic Clin Pharm* 2014; 5: 79-83. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0976-0105.139731>
  30. Ademolu BA. Sulfonylureas Induced Hypoglycemia in Diabetics - A Review. *Int J Diabetes Endocrinol Special Issue: Hypoglycemia in Diabetes.* 2019;4: 109-112. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijde.20190404.14>
  31. Shriram V, Mahadevan S, Anitharani M, Jagadeesh NS, Kurup SB, Vidya TA, *et al.* Reported hypoglycemia in Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients: Prevalence and practices-a hospital-based study. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab* 2017; 21: 148-153. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210>
  32. Eriksson JW, Bodegard J, Nathanson D, Thuresson M, Nystro T, Norhammar A. Sulphonylurea compared to DPP-4 inhibitors in combination with metformin carries increased risk of severe hypoglycemia, cardiovascular events, and all-cause mortality. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2016; 117: 39-47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2016.04.005>
  33. Signorovitch JE, Macaulay D, Diener M, Yan Y, Wu EQ, Gruenberger JB. Hypoglycaemia and accident risk in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus treated with non-insulin antidiabetes drugs. *Diabetes Obes Metab*

2013; 15: 335-341.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12031>

2014; 174: 678-686.  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.136>

34. Geller AI, Shehab N, Lovegrove MC, Kegler SR, Weidenbach KN, Ryan GJ, et al. National estimates of insulin-related hypoglycemia and errors leading to emergency department visits and hospitalisations. *JAMA Intern Med*

35. Sami W, Ansari T, Butt NS, Hamid MRA. Effect of diet on type 2 diabetes mellitus: A review. *Int J Health Sci* 2017; 11: 65-67.



This is an Open Access document licensed for distribution under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>). This permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution in any medium provided the original source is adequately cited and credited.